ENTERED

March 20, 2020 David J. Bradlev, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

DONALD GRANT,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-162
LANELLE ROELL, et al.,	§ 8	
Defendants.	\$ §	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R), entered on February 19, 2020. (D.E. 15). The M&R recommends that the Court grant Defendants' motion to dismiss (D.E. 10; D.E. 11).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its

entirety. (D.E. 15). Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' motions to dismiss. (D.E. 10; D.E. 11). All claims in this action are **DISMISSED** with **prejudice** for the reasons set forth in the M&R.

SIGNED and ORDERED this 201

 $\Lambda / X / U$

day of March 2021

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE